

Course: MUSP5230.004: APPLIED KEYBOARD
Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *
Response Rate: 1/6 (16.67 %)

Question		5	4	3	2	1	B1	Mean	Std	Median
1. The instructor communicated effectively.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.49	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
2. The course was organized effectively.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.42	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
3. The course goals were made clear by the instructor.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.54	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
4. The instructor conducted the class/rehearsal/lesson as scheduled.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.59	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
5. The instructor consistently provided opportunities to learn.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.56	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
6. The instructor was consistently well prepared, providing relevant content and useful materials.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.53	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
7. The instructor has a thorough knowledge of the material.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.73	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
8. The instructor interacted with the student (s) and provided and opportunity ask questions and engage in discussion.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.59	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
9. The instructor rehearsed/reviewed material effectively and productively identified and corrected errors.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.54	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
10. The course syllabus clearly explained the course objectives, expectations, and grading methods.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.57	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
11. The instructor was consistently available to students during his/her scheduled/posted office hours.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
12. The instructor consistently presented course content in a way that made the material understandable.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
13. The content of formal assessments were consistent with the materials assigned and discussed in class.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.53	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
14. Graded work was returned in a timely manner.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
15. Applied Lessons Only: Expectations for each lesson were clearly defined.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.44	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
16. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor communicated clearly and effectively how I should practice for improvement.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.37	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
17. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor suggested long-term goals for my improvement.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.26	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

B1 = Group Mean

Things I liked best about this course/instructor include: Daria Rabotkina

My suggestions for improving this course are:

I would or would not recommend this instructor to a friend because...: Daria Rabotkina

Course: MUSP1230.001: APPLIED KEYBOARD

Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *

Response Rate: 1/3 (33.33 %)

Question		5	4	3	2	1	B1	Mean	Std	Median
1. The instructor communicated effectively.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.49	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
2. The course was organized effectively.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.42	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
3. The course goals were made clear by the instructor.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.54	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
4. The instructor conducted the class/rehearsal/lesson as scheduled.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.59	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
5. The instructor consistently provided opportunities to learn.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.56	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
6. The instructor was consistently well prepared, providing relevant content and useful materials.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.53	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
7. The instructor has a thorough knowledge of the material.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.73	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
8. The instructor interacted with the student (s) and provided and opportunity ask questions and engage in discussion.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.59	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
9. The instructor rehearsed/reviewed material effectively and productively identified and corrected errors.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.54	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
10. The course syllabus clearly explained the course objectives, expectations, and grading methods.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.57	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
11. The instructor was consistently available to students during his/her scheduled/posted office hours.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
12. The instructor consistently presented course content in a way that made the material understandable.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
13. The content of formal assessments were consistent with the materials assigned and discussed in class.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.53	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
14. Graded work was returned in a timely manner.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
15. Applied Lessons Only: Expectations for each lesson were clearly defined.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.44	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
16. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor communicated clearly and effectively how I should practice for improvement.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.37	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
17. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor suggested long-term goals for my improvement.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.26	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

B1 = Group Mean

Things I liked best about this course/instructor include: Daria Rabotkina

My suggestions for improving this course are:

I would or would not recommend this instructor to a friend because...: Daria Rabotkina

Course: MUSP2230.001: APPLIED KEYBOARD

Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *

Response Rate: 1/2 (50.00 %)

Question		5	4	3	2	1	B1	Mean	Std	Median
1. The instructor communicated effectively.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.49	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
2. The course was organized effectively.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.42	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
3. The course goals were made clear by the instructor.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.54	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
4. The instructor conducted the class/rehearsal/lesson as scheduled.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.59	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
5. The instructor consistently provided opportunities to learn.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.56	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
6. The instructor was consistently well prepared, providing relevant content and useful materials.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.53	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
7. The instructor has a thorough knowledge of the material.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.73	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
8. The instructor interacted with the student (s) and provided and opportunity ask questions and engage in discussion.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.59	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
9. The instructor rehearsed/reviewed material effectively and productively identified and corrected errors.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.54	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
10. The course syllabus clearly explained the course objectives, expectations, and grading methods.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.57	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
11. The instructor was consistently available to students during his/her scheduled/posted office hours.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
12. The instructor consistently presented course content in a way that made the material understandable.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
13. The content of formal assessments were consistent with the materials assigned and discussed in class.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.53	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
14. Graded work was returned in a timely manner.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
15. Applied Lessons Only: Expectations for each lesson were clearly defined.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.44	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
16. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor communicated clearly and effectively how I should practice for improvement.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.37	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
17. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor suggested long-term goals for my improvement.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.26	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

B1 = Group Mean

Things I liked best about this course/instructor include: Daria Rabotkina

- Dr. Rabotkina is and has been a wonderful instructor who makes sure to push her students to the potential that she knows that they can reach.

My suggestions for improving this course are:

I would or would not recommend this instructor to a friend because...: Daria Rabotkina

- I would recommend Dr. Rabotkina because she is an incredible instructor who gives amazing feedback while challenging her students.

Course: MU5362.010: INSTRUMENTAL PEDAGOGY I

Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *

Response Rate: 1/2 (50.00 %)

Question		5	4	3	2	1	B1	Mean	Std	Median
1. The instructor communicated effectively.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.49	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
2. The course was organized effectively.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.42	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
3. The course goals were made clear by the instructor.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.54	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
4. The instructor conducted the class/rehearsal/lesson as scheduled.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.59	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
5. The instructor consistently provided opportunities to learn.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.56	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
6. The instructor was consistently well prepared, providing relevant content and useful materials.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.53	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
7. The instructor has a thorough knowledge of the material.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.73	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
8. The instructor interacted with the student (s) and provided and opportunity ask questions and engage in discussion.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.59	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
9. The instructor rehearsed/reviewed material effectively and productively identified and corrected errors.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.54	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
10. The course syllabus clearly explained the course objectives, expectations, and grading methods.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.57	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
11. The instructor was consistently available to students during his/her scheduled/posted office hours.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
12. The instructor consistently presented course content in a way that made the material understandable.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
13. The content of formal assessments were consistent with the materials assigned and discussed in class.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.53	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
14. Graded work was returned in a timely manner.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
15. Applied Lessons Only: Expectations for each lesson were clearly defined.	n	0	0	0	0	0	4.44	0.00	0.00	0.00
	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
16. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor communicated clearly and effectively how I should practice for improvement.: Daria Rabotkina	n	0	0	0	0	0	4.37	0.00	0.00	0.00
	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
17. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor suggested long-term goals for my improvement.	n	0	0	0	0	0	4.26	0.00	0.00	0.00
	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

B1 = Group Mean

Things I liked best about this course/instructor include: Daria Rabotkina

- The course was very informative. All assignments were relevant to real life-teaching experiences and her feedback was clear and specific. I found this course very helpful in my future career.

My suggestions for improving this course are:

- In case of a "mystery piece" assignment, it might have been helpful to do an example together in class prior to the assignment.

Course: MU5362.010: INSTRUMENTAL PEDAGOGY I
Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *
Response Rate: 1/2 (50.00 %)

I would or would not recommend this instructor to a friend because...: Daria Rabotkina

- I would recommend a friend to take a class with Dr. Rabotkina because she is very knowledgeable, well-organized, and specific with her feedback. I learned a lot in her course.

Course: MUSP2235.001: KEYBOARD NON-MAJOR

Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *

Response Rate: 1/1 (100.00 %)

Question		5	4	3	2	1	B1	Mean	Std	Median
1. The instructor communicated effectively.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.49	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
2. The course was organized effectively.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.42	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
3. The course goals were made clear by the instructor.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.54	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
4. The instructor conducted the class/rehearsal/lesson as scheduled.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.59	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
5. The instructor consistently provided opportunities to learn.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.56	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
6. The instructor was consistently well prepared, providing relevant content and useful materials.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.53	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
7. The instructor has a thorough knowledge of the material.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.73	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
8. The instructor interacted with the student (s) and provided and opportunity ask questions and engage in discussion.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.59	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
9. The instructor rehearsed/reviewed material effectively and productively identified and corrected errors.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.54	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
10. The course syllabus clearly explained the course objectives, expectations, and grading methods.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.57	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
11. The instructor was consistently available to students during his/her scheduled/posted office hours.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
12. The instructor consistently presented course content in a way that made the material understandable.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
13. The content of formal assessments were consistent with the materials assigned and discussed in class.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.53	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
14. Graded work was returned in a timely manner.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
15. Applied Lessons Only: Expectations for each lesson were clearly defined.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.44	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
16. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor communicated clearly and effectively how I should practice for improvement.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.37	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
17. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor suggested long-term goals for my improvement.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.26	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

B1 = Group Mean

Things I liked best about this course/instructor include: Daria Rabotkina

- It's really awesome to get one on one guidance and personalized lessons every week. Dr. Rabotkina is amazing, and I've loved working with her this semester!

My suggestions for improving this course are:

- N/A

Course: MUSP2235.001: KEYBOARD NON-MAJOR
Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *
Response Rate: 1/1 (100.00 %)

I would or would not recommend this instructor to a friend because...: Daria Rabotkina

- I would definitely recommend this instructor to a friend. She's super organized, is very clear in her explanations and expectations, and really cares about her students.