## **Texas State University Spring 2022 - Music**



Course: MUSP1230.251: APPLIED KEYBOARD

Instructor: Daria Rabotkina \*
Response Rate: 1/3 (33.33 %)

Question		5	4	3	2	1	B1	Mean	Std	Median
The instructor communicated effectively.:     Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.47	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
2. The course was organized effectively.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.41	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
3. The course goals were made clear by the instructor.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.51	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
4. The instructor conducted the	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.54	5.00	0.00	5.00
class/rehearsal/lesson as scheduled.: Daria Rabotkina	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
5. The instructor consistently provided	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
opportunities to learn.: Daria Rabotkina	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
The instructor was consistently well prepared, providing relevant content and useful materials.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.52	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
7. The instructor has a thorough knowledge of the material.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.68	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
8. The instructor interacted with the student	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.56	5.00	0.00	5.00
(s) and provided and opportunity ask questions and engage in discussion.: Daria Rabotkina	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
The instructor rehearsed/reviewed material effectively and productively identified and corrected errors.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.49	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
10. The course syllabus clearly explained	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.53	5.00	0.00	5.00
the course objectives, expectations, and grading methods.	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
11. The instructor was consistently available to students during his/her scheduled/posted office hours.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.40	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
12. The instructor consistently presented course content in a way that made the material understandable.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.46	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
13. The content of formal assessments were consistent with the materials assigned and discussed in class.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.51	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
14. Graded work was returned in a timely manner.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.43	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
15. Applied Lessons Only: Expectations for each lesson were clearly defined.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.44	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
16. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor communicated clearly and effectively how I should practice for improvement.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.40	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
17. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor suggested long-term goals for my improvement.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.33	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

B1 = Group Mean

Things I liked best about this course/instructor include: Daria Rabotkina

My suggestions for improving this course are:

I would or would not recommend this instructor to a friend because...: Daria Rabotkina

## **Texas State University Spring 2022 - Music**



Course: MUSP2235.251: KEYBOARD NON-MAJOR

Instructor: Daria Rabotkina \*
Response Rate: 1/1 (100.00 %)

Question		5	4	3	2	1	B1	Mean	Std	Median
The instructor communicated effectively.:     Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.47	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
2. The course was organized effectively.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.41	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
3. The course goals were made clear by the instructor.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.51	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
4. The instructor conducted the class/rehearsal/lesson as scheduled.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.54	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
5. The instructor consistently provided opportunities to learn.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.48	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
6. The instructor was consistently well prepared, providing relevant content and useful materials.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.52	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
7. The instructor has a thorough knowledge of the material.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.68	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
8. The instructor interacted with the student (s) and provided and opportunity ask questions and engage in discussion.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.56	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
The instructor rehearsed/reviewed material effectively and productively identified and corrected errors.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.49	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
10. The course syllabus clearly explained the course objectives, expectations, and grading methods.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.53	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
11. The instructor was consistently available to students during his/her scheduled/posted office hours.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.40	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
12. The instructor consistently presented course content in a way that made the material understandable.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.46	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
13. The content of formal assessments were consistent with the materials assigned and discussed in class.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.51	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
14. Graded work was returned in a timely manner.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.43	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
15. Applied Lessons Only: Expectations for each lesson were clearly defined.	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.44	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
16. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor communicated clearly and effectively how I should practice for improvement.: Daria Rabotkina	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.40	5.00	0.00	5.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
17. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor	n	1	0	0	0	0	4.33	5.00	0.00	5.00
suggested long-term goals for my improvement.	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

B1 = Group Mean

### Things I liked best about this course/instructor include: Daria Rabotkina

• Dr. Rabotkina is the best! She clearly lays out goals and expectations, along with tools to succeed. She's accessible and really cares about her students!

### My suggestions for improving this course are:

• N/A

# **Texas State University Spring 2022 - Music**



Course: MUSP2235.251: KEYBOARD NON-MAJOR

Instructor: Daria Rabotkina \*
Response Rate: 1/1 (100.00 %)

#### I would or would not recommend this instructor to a friend because...: Daria Rabotkina

• I definitely would! It's one of the best classes I've taken at Texas State, and it's a greta option for anyone looking to improve their playing through a one-on-one private lesson approach.