

Course: MUSP2230.251: APPLIED KEYBOARD

Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *

Response Rate: 1/1 (100.00 %)

| Question | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | B1 | Mean | Std | Median |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|------|------|------|--------|
| 1. The instructor communicated effectively.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.32 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 2. The course was organized effectively. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.25 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 3. The course goals were made clear by the instructor.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.40 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 4. The instructor conducted the class/rehearsal/lesson as scheduled.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.68 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 5. The instructor consistently provided opportunities to learn.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 6. The instructor was consistently well prepared, providing relevant content and useful materials.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.48 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 7. The instructor has a thorough knowledge of the material.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.76 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 8. The instructor interacted with the student (s) and provided and opportunity ask questions and engage in discussion.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.66 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| | % | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 9. The instructor rehearsed/reviewed material effectively and productively identified and corrected errors.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 10. The course syllabus clearly explained the course objectives, expectations, and grading methods. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 11. The instructor was consistently available to students during his/her scheduled/posted office hours.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.65 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 12. The instructor consistently presented course content in a way that made the material understandable.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.40 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| | % | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 13. The content of formal assessments were consistent with the materials assigned and discussed in class. | n | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4.45 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| | % | 0.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 14. Graded work was returned in a timely manner. | n | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.21 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| | % | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 15. Applied Lessons Only: Expectations for each lesson were clearly defined. | n | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.44 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| | % | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 16. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor communicated clearly and effectively how I should practice for improvement.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.48 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 17. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor suggested long-term goals for my improvement. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.37 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

B1 = Group Mean

Things I liked best about this course/instructor include: Daria Rabotkina

• Makes you understand that you have options (sound/dynamic/touch) with a piece you're working on. Helps develop your own sound overall, and will usually appreciate it. Very deep knowledge and background of every aspect of piano (composer and his/her life, ways to perform piece, history, technique styles etc). Which gives a better sense on how to perform a piece. Constant improvement under her guidance. Using visual/imaginary analogies to help improve ones technique. Taking time off from school hours to help you.

My suggestions for improving this course are:

• Personally, there was some things a bit difficult to understand when it came to improving technique, or difficult parts of a piece. I feel that it would be better to teach technique in a more practical, direct and basic manner (at least in the beginning) rather than jumping into a complex, somewhat profound discussion about technique. I feel that using the visual analogies really help a lot more. It would also be nice to see the grade I have throughout the semester, despite there being very few graded assignments.

Course: MUSP2230.251: APPLIED KEYBOARD

Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *

Response Rate: 1/1 (100.00 %)

I would or would not recommend this instructor to a friend because...: Daria Rabotkina

• Would because - If you genuinely want to improve in piano, Dr. Rabotkina will really help you. Will go out her way to help you by taking time away outside of school obligations, provides what is really world class information on every aspect of piano, is fun to talk to in lessons because the education she gives you is informative, interesting and useful. Has the ability to see the potential in students and their flaws, and can help to improve on those flaws. Teacher usually is more serious and demanding than most, which can make the course stressful, intimidating and overwhelming, but that can be seen as a positive if one is serious about their craft.

Course: MUSP.2130.251: Rabotkina-Spring2021-APPLIED KEYBOARD-MUSP.2130.251
Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *
Response Rate: 1/1 (100.00 %)

| Question | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | B1 | Mean | Std | Median |
|---|---|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|--------|
| 1. The instructor communicated effectively.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.32 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 2. The course was organized effectively. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.25 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 3. The course goals were made clear by the instructor.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.40 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 4. The instructor conducted the class/rehearsal/lesson as scheduled.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.68 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 5. The instructor consistently provided opportunities to learn.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 6. The instructor was consistently well prepared, providing relevant content and useful materials.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.48 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 7. The instructor has a thorough knowledge of the material.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.76 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 8. The instructor interacted with the student (s) and provided and opportunity ask questions and engage in discussion.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.66 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 9. The instructor rehearsed/reviewed material effectively and productively identified and corrected errors.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 10. The course syllabus clearly explained the course objectives, expectations, and grading methods. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 11. The instructor was consistently available to students during his/her scheduled/posted office hours.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | % | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 12. The instructor consistently presented course content in a way that made the material understandable.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.40 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 13. The content of formal assessments were consistent with the materials assigned and discussed in class. | n | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | % | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 14. Graded work was returned in a timely manner. | n | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | % | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 15. Applied Lessons Only: Expectations for each lesson were clearly defined. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.44 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 16. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor communicated clearly and effectively how I should practice for improvement.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.48 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 17. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor suggested long-term goals for my improvement. | n | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.37 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| | % | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

B1 = Group Mean

Things I liked best about this course/instructor include: Daria Rabotkina

My suggestions for improving this course are:

I would or would not recommend this instructor to a friend because...: Daria Rabotkina

Course: MUSP.2235.251: Rabotkina-Spring2021-APPLIED KEYBOARD-MUSP.2235.251
Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *
Response Rate: 2/3 (66.67 %)

| Question | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | B1 | Mean | Std | Median |
|---|---|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|--------|
| 1. The instructor communicated effectively.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.32 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 2. The course was organized effectively. | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.25 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 3. The course goals were made clear by the instructor.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.40 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 4. The instructor conducted the class/rehearsal/lesson as scheduled.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.68 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 5. The instructor consistently provided opportunities to learn.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 6. The instructor was consistently well prepared, providing relevant content and useful materials.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.48 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 7. The instructor has a thorough knowledge of the material.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.76 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 8. The instructor interacted with the student (s) and provided and opportunity ask questions and engage in discussion.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.66 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 9. The instructor rehearsed/reviewed material effectively and productively identified and corrected errors.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 10. The course syllabus clearly explained the course objectives, expectations, and grading methods. | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 11. The instructor was consistently available to students during his/her scheduled/posted office hours.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.65 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 12. The instructor consistently presented course content in a way that made the material understandable.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.40 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 13. The content of formal assessments were consistent with the materials assigned and discussed in class. | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.45 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 14. Graded work was returned in a timely manner. | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.21 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 15. Applied Lessons Only: Expectations for each lesson were clearly defined. | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.44 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 16. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor communicated clearly and effectively how I should practice for improvement.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.48 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 17. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor suggested long-term goals for my improvement. | n | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.37 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

B1 = Group Mean

Things I liked best about this course/instructor include: Daria Rabotkina

- Dr. R was extremely knowledgeable and gave clear instructions for improvement. She also moved at the perfect pace for improvement, while still being challenged.
- Her incredible and vast knowledge with the piano and her ability to communicate it in a really simple way to her students. She also makes piano so enjoyable!

My suggestions for improving this course are:

- N/A

Course: MUSP.2235.251: Rabotkina-Spring2021-APPLIED KEYBOARD-MUSP.2235.251
Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *
Response Rate: 2/3 (66.67 %)

I would or would not recommend this instructor to a friend because...: Daria Rabotkina

- I would definitely recommend Dr. R! She's the best, and I loved her class!

Course: MUSP.5230.256: Rabotkina-Spring2021-APPLIED KEYBOARD-MUSP.5230.256

Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *

Response Rate: 1/5 (20.00 %)

| Question | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | B1 | Mean | Std | Median |
|---|---|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|--------|
| 1. The instructor communicated effectively.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.32 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 2. The course was organized effectively. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.25 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 3. The course goals were made clear by the instructor.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.40 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 4. The instructor conducted the class/rehearsal/lesson as scheduled.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.68 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 5. The instructor consistently provided opportunities to learn.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 6. The instructor was consistently well prepared, providing relevant content and useful materials.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.48 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 7. The instructor has a thorough knowledge of the material.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.76 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 8. The instructor interacted with the student (s) and provided and opportunity ask questions and engage in discussion.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.66 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 9. The instructor rehearsed/reviewed material effectively and productively identified and corrected errors.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 10. The course syllabus clearly explained the course objectives, expectations, and grading methods. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 11. The instructor was consistently available to students during his/her scheduled/posted office hours.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.65 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 12. The instructor consistently presented course content in a way that made the material understandable.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.40 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 13. The content of formal assessments were consistent with the materials assigned and discussed in class. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.45 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 14. Graded work was returned in a timely manner. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.21 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 15. Applied Lessons Only: Expectations for each lesson were clearly defined. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.44 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 16. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor communicated clearly and effectively how I should practice for improvement.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.48 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 17. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor suggested long-term goals for my improvement. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.37 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

B1 = Group Mean

Things I liked best about this course/instructor include: Daria Rabotkina

• Everything!

My suggestions for improving this course are:

I would or would not recommend this instructor to a friend because...: Daria Rabotkina

Course: MUSE.5126.274: Rabotkina-Spring2021-CHAMBER-MUSIC-MUSE.5126.274

Instructor: Daria Rabotkina *

Response Rate: 1/2 (50.00 %)

| Question | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | B1 | Mean | Std | Median |
|---|---|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|--------|
| 1. The instructor communicated effectively.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.32 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 2. The course was organized effectively. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.25 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 3. The course goals were made clear by the instructor.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.40 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 4. The instructor conducted the class/rehearsal/lesson as scheduled.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.68 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 5. The instructor consistently provided opportunities to learn.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 6. The instructor was consistently well prepared, providing relevant content and useful materials.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.48 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 7. The instructor has a thorough knowledge of the material.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.76 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 8. The instructor interacted with the student (s) and provided and opportunity ask questions and engage in discussion.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.66 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 9. The instructor rehearsed/reviewed material effectively and productively identified and corrected errors.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 10. The course syllabus clearly explained the course objectives, expectations, and grading methods. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.53 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 11. The instructor was consistently available to students during his/her scheduled/posted office hours.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.65 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 12. The instructor consistently presented course content in a way that made the material understandable.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.40 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 13. The content of formal assessments were consistent with the materials assigned and discussed in class. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.45 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 14. Graded work was returned in a timely manner. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.21 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 15. Applied Lessons Only: Expectations for each lesson were clearly defined. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.44 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 16. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor communicated clearly and effectively how I should practice for improvement.: Daria Rabotkina | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.48 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| 17. Applied Lessons Only: The instructor suggested long-term goals for my improvement. | n | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.37 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| | % | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

B1 = Group Mean

Things I liked best about this course/instructor include: Daria Rabotkina

• Everything!

My suggestions for improving this course are:

I would or would not recommend this instructor to a friend because...: Daria Rabotkina